QUANTUM COMPUTING AND CYBER-PHYSICAL SYSTEMS (CPS) SECURITY: IMPLICATIONS, CHALLENGES, AND SOLUTIONS

Ayepeku .O. Felix¹, Omosola .J. Olabode² ¹⁻²Dept. of Mathematical and Computing Science, Thomas Adewumi University Oko-Irese

ABSTRACT: Quantum computing is a revolutionary technology that has significant implications for Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) security. CPS, deeply integrated into critical infrastructure and modern technologies, faces unprecedented challenges and vulnerabilities in this era. This article explores the challenges and solutions for CPS security, discussing qubits, superposition, and quantum algorithms. Threats to CPS security have evolved, with quantum attacks posing threats to classical encryption methods. To mitigate these threats, post-quantum cryptography offers quantum-resistant cryptographic techniques suitable for CPS. Strategies for building resilient CPS systems and recovering from quantum attacks are discussed. Real-world case studies highlight the challenges and successes of securing CPS systems in the quantum era. The article also discusses regulatory and compliance frameworks for CPS security.

KEYWORDS: Quantum, computing, Quantum computing, Cyber-Physical Systems, Cryptography.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Utilizing the ideas of quantum physics, quantum computing is a cutting-edge branch of computation that allows for calculation rates and efficiency beyond the reach of classical computers. Quantum computers employ quantum bits, or qubits, as the fundamental unit of information instead of classical computers, which utilize bits (0s and 1s). Superposition is the phenomenon that allows qubits to exist in several states concurrently.

Because of this special quality of superposition, quantum computers may investigate several solutions to a problem simultaneously, which gives them extraordinary power for particular applications. Quantum computers may also connect the states of qubits via entanglement, another quantum phenomenon, making it possible to do complicated computations that are difficult for conventional computers to complete quickly.

Even though quantum computing has a lot of potential, there are still many unanswered questions on the subject, such as error correction, qubit stability, and useful applications. However, it has the potential to transform a number of fields, including simulations of quantum systems, cryptography, and optimization issues, with far-reaching effects on science, technology, and security.

A crucial confluence of digital processing, communication, and control with the real environment is represented by cyber-physical systems, or CPS. Critical infrastructure and contemporary technologies increasingly depend on these systems, which combine physical (sensing and actuation) and cyber (computing and communication) components. The importance of CPS in various areas is examined in this article.

1.1 AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

• This article's goal is to examine and comprehend how quantum computing may affect cyberphysical systems' (CPS) security. The potential influence of quantum computing on CPS security is becoming more important as it develops.

- To examine the potential impacts of quantum computing on CPS security, including any vulnerabilities.
- It may introduce the measures that can be taken to secure CPS in the quantum era.

1.2 QUANTUM COMPUTING FUNDAMENTALS

Superposition and qubits are two novel ideas introduced by quantum computing, which is based on the fundamental ideas of quantum physics. This section gives a basic introduction to these ideas and emphasizes how important they are to quantum computing.

Qubits:

- While a quantum bit, or qubit, can concurrently exist in a superposition of both states, a classical bit can only represent 0 or 1 [1].
- A quantum bit, also known as a qubit, can concurrently exist in a superposition of both states, whereas a conventional bit can only represent either one.
- In addition to being in a linear combination of these states, represented as α|0> + β|1>, where α and β are complex numbers fulfilling |α|^2 + |β|^2 = 1, a qubit's state may also be represented as |0> and |1> [2]

Superposition:

- The two possible states for a qubit are |0⟩ and |1⟩. It can also exist in a linear combination of these states, which is represented as α|0⟩ + β|1⟩, where α and β are complex numbers such that |α|^2 + |β|^2 = 1.
- It allows quantum computers to investigate several solutions to a problem concurrently, which might result in exponential speedups for specific tasks. [3]
- For instance, a qubit in a superposition of |0> and |1> can perform operations on both states simultaneously, making it highly efficient for quantum algorithms like Grover's search algorithm [4].

Compared to classical computers, quantum computers have the potential to achieve large computing gains, especially for certain kinds of applications. Some of the possible benefits of quantum computing are examined in this section.

- **Speedup in Factorization and Cryptography**: Using Shor's algorithm, quantum computers may effectively execute integer factorization, possibly compromising popular encryption systems like RSA. [5]. Shor's technique, which is efficient for integer factorization, can be utilized by quantum computers to attack popular encryption systems like RSA.
- Quantum Search Algorithms: Grover's technique is a quadratic speedup over traditional search algorithms that quantum computers can use to improve databases and unstructured searches [6]. Applications for this include optimizing databases, retrieving data, and resolving unsorted ones.
- **Simulating Quantum Systems**: It is difficult for conventional computers to effectively model quantum systems, whereas quantum computers can.. This has implications for quantum chemistry, materials science, and drug discovery, enabling the exploration of new molecules and materials [7].
- Quantum Machine Learning: Quantum computing has the potential to enhance machine learning algorithms through quantum data processing, enabling complex optimization tasks [8]. Quantum-enhanced machine learning is an emerging field with applications in data analysis and pattern recognition.
- **Parallelism and Exponential Speedup**: Quantum computers can leverage quantum parallelism, allowing them to perform multiple calculations simultaneously. This can lead to exponential

speedups for certain problems. Quantum algorithms can outperform classical algorithms in tasks such as solving systems of linear equations and simulating quantum mechanics [9].

2.0 CURRENT STATE OF CPS SECURITY

Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) play a vital role in various industries by integrating digital and physical components to enhance efficiency, safety, and control. Here, we describe the role of CPS in critical infrastructure, healthcare, and transportation.

Critical Infrastructure

- **Power Grids**: CPS is integral to managing and optimizing power grids, allowing for real-time monitoring, demand management, and grid stability [10].
- Water and Wastewater Management: CPS systems are used to monitor and control water treatment plants, distribution networks, and wastewater management, ensuring clean water supply and environmental protection [11].

Healthcare

- **Medical Devices**: CPS is used to monitor and control medical devices, ensuring patient safety and enabling telemedicine applications [12].
- **Patient Data Management**: Healthcare CPS systems manage electronic health records, providing healthcare professionals with secure access to patient data [13].

Transportation

- **Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)**: CPS is at the core of ITS, enabling traffic management, vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communication, and autonomous vehicle technologies [14].
- Aviation: CPS technologies are essential for aircraft navigation, collision avoidance systems, and air traffic control [15].

Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) in the classical computing era have faced several security challenges that have had significant implications for their reliability and safety. Here, we highlight some of these security challenges.

- **Vulnerability to Cyberattacks**: Cyberattacks of all kinds, such as malware, denial-of-service (DoS) attacks, and infiltration attempts, might interfere with CPS's regular operations [16].
- Lack of Encryption and Authentication: Many CPS devices and components lacked robust encryption and authentication mechanisms, making them susceptible to data breaches and unauthorized access [17].
- **Interconnectedness Risks**: The interconnected nature of CPS components increased the attack surface, as compromising one component could potentially lead to a domino effect affecting the entire system [18].
- Legacy Systems and Patching: Many CPS systems used legacy components and operating systems that were difficult to patch and update, leaving them exposed to known vulnerabilities [19].
- **Insider Threats**: Insider threats, such as employees with malicious intent or negligence, pose significant security risks to CPS systems [20].
- Lack of Standardization: The absence of comprehensive security standards and best practices specific to CPS made it challenging to develop consistent security measures [21].

2.1 QUANTUM COMPUTING'S THREAT TO CPS

Because quantum computing can solve some mathematical problems that traditional cryptography systems rely on in an efficient manner, it might be a danger to these approaches. Here, we talk about how traditional encryption techniques are threatened by quantum computing.

- Shor's Algorithm and Integer Factorization: Shor's technique allows quantum computers to factor big numbers quickly, a job that conventional computers cannot accomplish computationally. This is a serious danger to popular encryption techniques like RSA, which depend on the complexity of integer factorization [22].
- **Grover's Algorithm and Search Problems**: Grover's approach can help quantum computers solve search issues more quickly. This decreases the effective key length and may jeopardize the security of symmetric-key encryption techniques, even though it does not directly destroy encryption.[23]
- **Post-Quantum Cryptography**: Because quantum computing poses a danger to conventional encryption, post-quantum cryptographic algorithms—which are thought to be resistant to quantum attacks—have been developed. These methods are resistant to search algorithms and quantum factorization [24].
- Quantum-Safe Cryptographic Solutions: Researchers are exploring quantum-safe cryptographic solutions, including lattice-based, code-based, and multivariate polynomial cryptography, which are resistant to quantum attacks and offer security in the post-quantum era [25].
- **Preparing for the Quantum Threat**: Organizations and governments are taking steps to prepare for the quantum threat by investing in quantum-resistant cryptography, developing quantum key distribution technologies, and monitoring the progress of quantum computing development [26].

Because quantum computers may solve problems more effectively than classical computers, Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) are vulnerable to quantum assaults. This section includes citations and references to back up the explanation of how vulnerable CPS systems are to two well-known quantum algorithms, Shor's algorithm and Grover's algorithm.

1. **Vulnerability to Shor's Algorithm: Shor's Algorithm**One quantum method that is well-known for its effectiveness at factoring big numbers is Shor's algorithm. This presents a serious danger to traditional cryptographic schemes like RSA encryption, which rely on the complexity of integer factorization [27].

Impact on CPS: CPS systems often use classical encryption methods to secure data and communications. If an adversary with access to a powerful quantum computer were to use Shor's algorithm, it could potentially break the encryption protecting CPS communications, leading to data compromise and system vulnerabilities.

2. Vulnerability to Grover's Algorithm: Grover's Algorithm: Grover's method is a quantum algorithm that outperforms traditional algorithms in unstructured search jobs. It accelerates unsorted database searches by a quadratic factor [28].

Impact on CPS: Grover's algorithm does not directly break encryption; however, it reduces the effective key length of symmetric-key encryption methods. This means that the time it takes to find the correct key is reduced by a factor of the square root of the key space.

CPS Example: If an attacker with a quantum computer were to search for an encryption key in a CPS application using Grover's approach, the amount of time needed to breach the encryption would be greatly decreased. This can result in data being intercepted or unauthorized access to the CPS system.

3. **Preparing for Quantum-Resistant CPS Security**: In order to tackle these problems, scholars and professionals are investigating quantum-resistant post-quantum cryptography algorithms, creating quantum-resistant key exchange protocols, and integrating quantum-safe security features in CPS systems [29].

• **CPS Security Standards**: In order to safeguard CPS systems in the future, organizations and regulatory agencies are attempting to create security standards that incorporate quantum-resistant encryption and advise the adoption of quantum-safe algorithms.

2.2 QUANTUM-POST CRYPTOGRAPHY

The development of quantum computing has brought up security issues that are being addressed by Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC). In order to counteract quantum threats to classical cryptography systems, PQC is introduced in this part, along with references and citations to back up the ideas.

- 1. **Quantum Threat to Classical Cryptography:** The security and integrity of sensitive data are at risk due to the effective breaking of classical encryption techniques by algorithms like Shor's and Grover's, which is made possible by the advent of powerful quantum computers [30] [31].
- 2. **Post-Quantum Cryptography** (**PQC**): A paradigm of cryptographic techniques created especially to fend off quantum assaults is called post-quantum cryptography (PQC). The purpose of these methods is to safeguard information and correspondence in the post-quantum period, as quantum computing presents a significant risk to traditional encryption techniques [32]. PQC seeks to offer security based on mathematical issues that are inefficiently solved by quantum algorithms. Lattice-based, code-based, multivariate polynomial, and other cryptography-related issues are among them [33].
- 3. Key Aspects of PQC: PQC algorithms are made to withstand quantum attacks even in the event that very potent quantum computers become accessible. They provide a better degree of security assurance in the context of quantum threats. PQC ensures that quantum algorithms like Grover's and Shor's don't jeopardize encryption, protecting data integrity and secrecy [34]. To get ready for the quantum danger, businesses and researchers are working hard to standardize and investigate PQC algorithms. This process is aided by projects such as the Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization initiative of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) [35].

2.3 CRYPTOGRAPHIC APPROACHES SUITABLE FOR CPS

Cyber-Physical systems (CPS) require the use of cryptographic techniques that are appropriate in order to secure sensitive data and communications. Lattice-based cryptography and code-based cryptography are two viable methods for protecting CPS. These cryptographic techniques will be covered in detail in this discussion, along with citations and references for more reading.

Lattice-Based Cryptography: This type of cryptography is a good option for post-quantum security and may be used to protect CPS against quantum assaults since it is based on the difficulty of certain lattice issues [36]. Lattice-based cryptography techniques such as LWE (Learning with Errors) and NTRU (Nth-degree truncated polynomial ring) are thought to be robust against quantum errors and to provide excellent security guarantees [37]. Lattice-based cryptography, which offers safe encryption, digital signatures, and key exchange protocols, is renowned for its adaptability and appropriateness for use in CPS applications [38].

Formula-Based The foundation of cryptography lies in the difficulty of particular coding theory issues, notably the decoding of random linear codes [39]. One of the most well-known code-based cryptography techniques is the McEliece encryption method. It has uses in secure key exchange for CPS and is thought to be safe against quantum attacks [40]. Strong security guarantees and comparatively efficient processing complexity make code-based cryptography appropriate for CPS devices with limited resources [41].

3.0 RESILIENCE AND RECOVERY STRATEGIES

Quantum-safe backups are crucial for restoring critical critical point systems (CPS) data and configurations in the event of a quantum attack. These backups can be created using quantum-resistant

encryption, ensuring data can be securely restored. Quantum-resistant data reconstruction methods can help restore data and system functionality without relying on compromised cryptographic keys. Incident response and recovery plans should be implemented, highlighting the steps to take in case of a security breach and prioritizing the restoration of CPS functionality. Key rotation protocols should be implemented for continuous encryption key updates, restoring data security in the event of a quantum attack. Quantum-resistant firmware and software updates can patch vulnerabilities exposed by quantum attacks and restore system integrity. Distributed system redundancy can be built into CPS systems with distributed components, allowing for continuous system operation in case of a quantum attack. These strategies help ensure the security and integrity of critical CPS systems.

3.1 RECOVERY MECHANISMS TO RESTORE CPS FUNCTIONALITY FOLLOWING QUANTUM ATTACKS

Quantum-safe backups are crucial for restoring critical point systems (CPS) data and configurations in the event of a quantum attack. These backups can be created using quantum-resistant encryption, ensuring data can be securely restored. Quantum-resistant data reconstruction methods can help restore data and system functionality without relying on compromised cryptographic keys. Incident response and recovery plans should be implemented, highlighting the steps to take in case of a security breach and prioritizing the restoration of CPS functionality. Key rotation protocols should be implemented for continuous encryption key updates, restoring data security in the event of a quantum attack. Quantum-resistant firmware and software updates can patch vulnerabilities exposed by quantum attacks and restore system integrity. Distributed system redundancy can be built into CPS systems with distributed components, allowing for continuous system operation in case of a quantum attack. These strategies help ensure the security and integrity of critical CPS systems.

3.2 QUANTUM-SAFE CPS DESIGN

The design of quantum-safe Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) is an essential strategy for guaranteeing the security and robustness of CPS in the post-quantum future. To safeguard data and communications, postquantum cryptographic procedures are used, such as digital signatures, key exchange protocols, and encryption that is resistant to quantum emulation. Quantum-resistant hardware components, such as hardware security modules, secure key storage, and hardware-based random number generators, are also designed to withstand quantum attacks. Secure firmware and software for CPS devices and control systems are developed, including secure boot mechanisms, software patches, and continuous monitoring for quantum vulnerabilities. Quantum-safe key management and rotation protocols ensure that cryptographic keys are continually updated to quantum-resistant alternatives, preventing potential exposure in case of a quantum attack. Resilient network infrastructure is built for CPS, including quantum-safe communication channels and monitoring mechanisms, to protect data in transit and ensure the availability of CPS even in the face of quantum threats. Adhering to established security standards and regulatory compliance, including quantum-safe security recommendations and best practices for CPS design and operation, is also essential. Continuous monitoring and response mechanisms are implemented to detect and mitigate quantum threats in real-time, identifying vulnerabilities and responding swiftly to potential attacks. By integrating these principles into CPS design, organizations can enhance their systems' security and resilience in anticipation of the quantum threat landscape.

3.3 IMPORTANCE OF SECURE KEY DISTRIBUTION AND MANAGEMENT IN QUANTUM-SAFE CPS

Secure key distribution and management are crucial for the security and resilience of Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS), especially in the context of quantum-safe design. Quantum computers can break

traditional cryptographic keys, making it essential to employ quantum-resistant keys. Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) offers a secure means of distributing cryptographic keys that are provably secure against quantum eavesdropping, providing a foundation for securing CPS communications in a post-quantum era. Regular key rotation with quantum-resistant keys is essential to prevent long-term exposure to potential quantum attacks. Secure key management ensures that encryption keys are continually updated to quantum-safe alternatives. Resilience and data protection are also important aspects of secure key distribution and management. Effective key management is vital to maintaining data protection in the face of quantum threats. Compliance with security standards and regulations often includes requirements for secure key distribution and management, helping organizations meet legal and industry-specific security requirements.

3.4 CASE STUDIES AND EXAMPLES

Researchers are exploring the use of quantum-safe communication protocols in smart grids, Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), and healthcare systems to protect critical infrastructure. Quantum-resistant encryption and key exchange mechanisms are being explored to secure data and control messages in the grid, ensuring system reliability and resilience. In healthcare systems, quantum-safe cryptographic protocols are being used to protect patient data and medical devices, particularly those involving remote monitoring and telemedicine. Some healthcare organizations are also exploring the use of quantum-safe cryptographic protocols to enhance security in remote monitoring and telemedicine. Secure Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) is being integrated into critical infrastructure systems, such as in energy and financial sectors, to ensure secure communication channels resistant to quantum attacks. These efforts aim to enhance the security of critical infrastructure and improve the reliability and resilience of these systems. These examples showcase the ongoing research and limited practical implementations of quantum-safe measures in CPS systems. As quantum technologies continue to advance, it is expected that more real-world applications and deployments of quantum-safe security measures in CPS will emerge to ensure the resilience of critical infrastructure and interconnected systems against quantum threats.

3.4.1 Challenges:

The challenges in implementing quantum-safe cryptographic algorithms in the Computer-Physical Systems (CPS) domain include limited awareness and understanding of quantum threats, integration complexity, resource constraints, and standards and interoperability. Many organizations are unaware of the potential impact of quantum computing on their systems, and integrating quantum-safe measures into existing CPS can be complex and costly. Additionally, some CPS devices and sensors may have resource limitations, making it difficult to implement complex quantum-safe cryptographic algorithms. Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) is a promising quantum-safe technology, but its deployment and practicality are also challenges.

3.4.2 Successes:

Quantum-resistant cryptographic algorithms and protocols have been developed, laying the foundation for quantum-safe security measures in quantum-proof systems (CPS). Awareness of quantum threats has led to initiatives exploring quantum-safe security in various CPS domains. Pilot projects and demonstrations have demonstrated the feasibility of implementing quantum-safe measures in real-world applications. Collaboration between industry, academia, and government bodies has advanced quantum-safe security research and standards. Regulatory bodies, like the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), are addressing the importance of quantum-safe security. Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) has shown potential in sectors like finance and critical infrastructure.

3.5 REGULATORY AND COMPLIANCE FRAMEWORKS

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) is working on standardizing post-quantum cryptography, a crucial aspect of quantum-safe security standards. GDPR in the European Union emphasizes data protection, including quantum-safe security. The IEEE Quantum Safe Working Group guides best practices for quantum-safe security, including CPS applications. International standards organizations like the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) are also exploring quantum-safe security standards to guide organizations in securing their CPS against quantum threats.

Security standards provide organizations with a structured approach to security, reducing the likelihood of security breaches and vulnerabilities. They outline best practices, controls, and procedures to protect against threats and vulnerabilities. Compliance with these standards helps organizations identify, assess, and mitigate risks effectively, reducing the likelihood of financial and reputational damage. Compliance with regulatory and legal requirements helps organizations meet these obligations, while trust and customer confidence are fostered. Compliance can provide a competitive advantage, as clients and partners prefer organizations with robust security measures. Incident response and recovery guidelines are also included in security standards. Finally, compliance allows organizations to demonstrate accountability to regulators, customers, and stakeholders, providing evidence of the implementation, auditing, and maintenance of security measures.

4.0 FUTURE PROSPECTS AND CHALLENGES

Quantum computing is expected to significantly impact Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) security, making platforms more accessible to researchers and malicious actors. Organizations must adapt to quantum-resistant cryptographic solutions to protect CPS from quantum attacks. International standards bodies and regulatory agencies will establish quantum-safe CPS standards to guide implementation. Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) will see increased adoption in CPS and critical infrastructure sectors, bolstering data transmission and control messages' security. Research in quantum-resistant protocols will lead to more efficient and practical solutions. Organizations must develop and implement quantum-safe resilience strategies to ensure CPS systems maintain functionality and data integrity even in the presence of quantum attacks.

5.0 CONCLUSION

Quantum computing is a rapidly advancing technology that poses a threat to classical encryption methods and the security of critical public services (CPS) systems. Organizations must prepare for the inevitable impact of quantum computing on their security infrastructure, ensuring long-term security, cost-effective transition, protection of sensitive data, compliance with emerging regulations, building stakeholder trust, and ensuring sustainable resilience. Quantum-safe security measures are designed to withstand quantum attacks and provide enduring protection, making preparation essential for uninterrupted CPS functionality. Proactive preparation can enhance an organization's reputation for security and reliability. Quantum computing poses a threat to Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) security, necessitating the implementation of quantum-safe measures. Challenges include developing cryptographic standards, deploying QKD, and designing hardware. International standards bodies and regulatory agencies are working on establishing standards and regulations

6.0 REFERENCES

1. Devitt, S. J., Schütz, M. J. A., & Plenio, M. B. (2017). Quantum computation and quantum information theory. Contemporary Physics, 58(1), 36-61.

2. Mermin, N. D. (2007). Quantum Computer Science: An Introduction. Cambridge University Press.'

3. Kaye, P., Laflamme, R., & Mosca, M. (2007). An Introduction to Quantum Computing. Oxford University Press.

4. A New Exponentially Fast Quantum Algorithm for Searching Target in the Unstructured Database." 2022. Quantum Physics Letters 11, no. 1 (April): 13–17. https://doi.org/10.18576/qpl/110103.

5. Gurevich, Yuri, and Andreas Blass. 2023. "Software Science View on Quantum Circuit Algorithms." Information and Computation 292, no. June (June): 105024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ic.2023.105024.

6. Bernstein, D. J., & Lange, T. (2017). Post-quantum cryptography. Nature, 549(7671), 188-194.

7. Kain, Ben. 2021. "Searching a Quantum Database with Grover's Search Algorithm." American Journal of Physics 89, no. 6 (June): 618–26. https://doi.org/10.1119/10.0004835.

8. McArdle, S., Endo, S., Aspuru-Guzik, A., & Benjamin, S. C. (2020). Quantum computational chemistry. Reviews of Modern Physics, 92(1), 015003.

9. Konno, Norio, Etsuo Segawa, and Martin Štefaňák. 2021. "Relation between Quantum Walks with Tails and Quantum Walks with Sinks on Finite Graphs." Symmetry 13, no. 7 (June): 1169. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13071169.

10. Ye, Linlin, Zhaoqi Wu, and Shao-Ming Fei. 2023. "Coherence Dynamics in Quantum Algorithm for Linear Systems of Equations." Physica Scripta 98, no. 12 (November): 125104. https://doi.org/10.1088/1402-4896/ad0584.

11. Gungor, V. C., Sahin, D., Kocak, T., Ergut, S., Buccella, C., Cecati, C., & Hancke, G. P. (2016). Smart grid technologies: Communication technologies and standards. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 7(4), 529-539.

12. Zagonari, Fabio, and Claudio Rossi. 2020. "A Spatial Decision Support System for Optimally Locating Treatment Plants for Safe Wastewater Reuse: An Application to Saudi Arabia." DESALINATION AND WATER TREATMENT 178: 1–20. https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2020.24979.

13. Stangl, Anne L., Valerie A. Earnshaw, Carmen H. Logie, Wim van Brakel, Leickness C. Simbayi, Iman Barré, and John F. Dovidio. 2019. "The Health Stigma and Discrimination Framework: A Global, Crosscutting Framework to Inform Research, Intervention Development, and Policy on Health-Related Stigmas." BMC Medicine 17, no. 1 (February). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1271-3.

14. Cheng, S., & Yu, W. (2016). Wireless-telemedicine healthcare framework based on the internet of things. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 12(4), 1470-1481.

15. Al-Emran, M., & Shaalan, K. (2019). Internet of things (IoT), blockchain and fog computing for industry 4.0: A survey. Journal of Industrial Information Integration, 15, 100107.

16. Hansen, M. (2019). CPS trends in aerospace and aviation. In 2019 IEEE/AIAA 38th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC) (pp. 1-13). IEEE.

17. M., & Sinopoli, B. (2012). Cyber-Physical Attacks and Defenses in the Smart Grid: A Review. In 2012 50th Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing (pp. 1343-1350). IEEE.

18. "Distributed Detection Mechanism and Resilient Consensus Strategy for Secure Voltage Control of AC Microgrids." 2023. CSEE Journal of Power and Energy Systems. https://doi.org/10.17775/cseejpes.2020.07140.

19. Li, Li, Huan Yang, Yuanqing Xia, and Cui Zhu. 2021. "Attack Detection and Distributed Filtering for State-Saturated Systems Under Deception Attack." IEEE Transactions on Control of Network Systems 8, no. 4 (December): 1918–29. https://doi.org/10.1109/tcns.2021.3089146.

20. Khan, Shaharyar, and Stuart E. Madnick. 2019. "Cybersafety: A System-Theoretic Approach to Identify Cyber-Vulnerabilities & amp; Mitigations in Industrial Control Systems." SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3542551.

21. Warriach, E. U., & Zhang, H. (2017). Cyber Physical Attacks and Defense in the Smart Grid: A Review. In 2017 25th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO) (pp. 1843-1847). IEEE.

22. Paudel, Nilakantha, and Ram C. Neupane. 2021. "A General Architecture for a Real-Time Monitoring System Based on the Internet of Things." Internet of Things 14, no. June (June): 100367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2021.100367.

23. Ekerå, Martin. 2021. "Quantum Algorithms for Computing General Discrete Logarithms and Orders with Tradeoffs." Journal of Mathematical Cryptology 15, no. 1 (January): 359–407. https://doi.org/10.1515/jmc-2020-0006.

24. Kain, Ben. 2021. "Searching a Quantum Database with Grover's Search Algorithm." American Journal of Physics 89, no. 6 (June): 618–26. https://doi.org/10.1119/10.0004835.

25. D. J., Lange, T. (2017). Post-quantum cryptography. Nature, 549(7671), 188-194.

26. Ding, J., Perlner, R. A., Smith-Tone, D., Solinas, J. A., & Bassham, L. E. (2019). Report on Post-Quantum Cryptography. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

27. National Security Agency (NSA). (2015). Commercial National Security Algorithm Suite. https://www.nsa.gov/ia/programs/suiteb_cryptography/.

28. Ekerå, Martin. 2021. "Quantum Algorithms for Computing General Discrete Logarithms and Orders with Tradeoffs." Journal of Mathematical Cryptology 15, no. 1 (January): 359–407. https://doi.org/10.1515/jmc-2020-0006.

29. Kozhukhivskyy, A. D. 2022. "Quantum Search Algorithm in Unstructured Database." Scientific Notes of the State University of Telecommunications 2, no. 1. https://doi.org/10.31673/25187678.2022.021014.

30. Shor, P. W. (1994). Algorithms for quantum computation: discrete logarithms and factoring. In Proceedings 35th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (pp. 124-134). IEEE.

31. Grover, L. K. (1996). A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search. In Proceedings of the twenty-eighth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing (pp. 212-219).

32. Bernstein, D. J., Lange, T. (2017). Post-quantum cryptography. Nature, 549(7671), 188-194.

33. Ding, J., Perlner, R. A., Smith-Tone, D., Solinas, J. A., & Bassham, L. E. (2019). Report on Post-Quantum Cryptography. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

34. Alagic, G., Chang, D., Ducas, L., Langlois, A., Mironov, I., Peikert, C., ... & Yun, A. (2021). The NIST post-quantum cryptography standardization process. Journal of Cryptographic Engineering, 1-30.

35. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). (2021). Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization. https://csrc.nist.gov/Projects/Post-Quantum-Cryptography.

36. Ding, J., Perlner, R. A., Smith-Tone, D., Solinas, J. A., & Bassham, L. E. (2019). Report on Post-Quantum Cryptography. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

37. Peikert, C. (2016). A decade of lattice cryptography. Foundations and Trends in Theoretical Computer Science, 10(4), 283-424.

38. Micciancio, D., & Peikert, C. (2013). Hardness of SIS and LWE with small parameters. In Annual International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Cryptographic Techniques (pp. 21-39). Springer.

39. Ilanthenral, K., and K. S. Easwarakumar. 2014. "Hexi McEliece Public Key Cryptosystem." Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences 8, no. 5 (September): 2595–2603. https://doi.org/10.12785/amis/080559.

40. Misoczki, R., Barreto, P. S. L. M., Schmidt, D., Lemire, D., & Otmani, A. (2013). MDPC-McEliece: New McEliece variants from moderate density parity-check codes. In International Workshop on Post-Quantum Cryptography (pp. 54-79). Springer.

41. Bernstein, D. J., Lange, T. (2017). Post-quantum cryptography. Nature, 549(7671), 188-194

42. Ahmed, M., Jamshid, J., Latif, A., & Ullah, S. (2023). Cloud Computing Adoption by Universities: An Analysis Based on Lasbela University. International Journal of Computing and Related Technologies, 3(2), 8-20. Retrieved from http://ijcrt.smiu.edu.pk/ijcrt/index.php/smiu/article/view/141

43. Zuzana Arki2G. K. (2019). Is it possible to change the information security awareness of the students in the Higher Education?. International Journal of Computing and Related Technologies, 1(2), 25-32. Retrieved from <u>http://ijcrt.smiu.edu.pk/ijcrt/index.php/smiu/article/view/66</u>